

Terms defined by Left for Right

Dear Mary Fisk and Pres. Stein:

Forgive my not replying individually, but it seems that you share a certain convenient obtuseness in grasping both the point of my last article as well as the accompanying humor. However, together you raise several interesting points: notably, that of political repression on this campus, but also, the fascistic implications of YAF's concomitant commitment to Amerikan imperialism and capitalism.

Therefore, it might be apropos to begin, Mr. Stein, by suggesting that in actuality our system bears only a fleeting resemblance to that defined by Samuelson. Do you really think that GM or Lockheed are the embodiment of free enterprise? Do you honestly believe that Vietnamizing Indo-China is preserving "freedom of choice" under the Thieu-Ky regime? Don't you think that there is a direct connection between the econ-

omy and the war? No Mr. Stein, our capitalism has been perverted: it is merchantilism writ large, our big capitalists are racist warmongers bent on eliminating competition and installing the Big Brother government to protect them.

The military-industrial complex is a virtual perpetual motion machine and it is the Conservatives who have turned their backs on a tradition of individualism, liberty and freedom and it is they who blindly support the redirection of foreign policy towards militarism and empire. It has befallen the Left to take up their old stand on civil liberties and decentralization.

Upton Sinclair once remarked that "Fascism is Capitalism plus murder." It is not this government, the government that you so strongly support, that killed students at Kent and Jackson, Panthers in their beds and "sloped" in mass graves? Is

it not this government that refuses to understand that it never have law and order until has social justice? Is it not a government that demands complete conformity to an undemocratic Selective Service System?

Interestingly enough, James Burnham of NATIONAL VIEW recently called for a "Bismark for America and a re-evaluation of fascism." Indeed the Libitarian factions of YAF are leaving in large numbers and one of their leaders, Jerome Tuccille, in his book RADICAL LIBITARIANISM, charges that Conservatives are morally bankrupt and little more than apologists for the fast emerging fascist state."

It would seem to me, Mr. Fisk, that the present SGA is more representative of the student body than any of the previous bodies and that if it decided to send part of the student fees to those who were injured at Kent and Jackson and back the civil liberties of the faculty, it is probably representative of those who elected it. If you do not like what the SGA is doing, you can always vote against it in the next election. You must work within the system you know. It is interesting to note that Taylor's freedom of speech was never proven to have been violated by those accused. Does YAF support punishing without due process or even a civil trial? Even if it was proven that Greenberg did what he was accused of doing, is it then fair to deprive him of his freedom of speech? Or is YAF supporting the Administration's stand on the Taylor incident to get rid of another leftist professor?