

"to bridle the world gendarmery of imperialism — the United States government . . ." (23rd Congress of CPSU), and on the other hand, it advises Hanoi to refuse peaceful negotiations. The rulers of Moscow suppose that "Progressive men in America," (read, the muckworms of American society — the cowards degenerates, half-bright politicians and the godless clergy), will force President Johnson to pull the American Army out of Vietnam — a step which mean the destruction of all resistance to communism.

Factually, it is Soviet Russia which is waging the war in Southeast Asia. It is Russia which supplies the modern weapons and trains regiments in the effort to conquer the Republic of South Vietnam. Without this help, the war would have been ended months ago and peace would have been established.

Has your old age expunged from your memory of Moscow's historically double-faced policy? Let me remind you of one recent event — the treaty of friendship with Fascist Germany in some degree is analogous to its present policy in Vietnam. Moscow allowed Hitler to turn his whole military might against France. Moscow supplied Hitler with war materials, allowing him to produce the bombs which Hitler hurled on your country's children and women.

You hold your silence when the "Polar Star of peace . . . the Russian Communist Party" (viz. Kommunist), lauds General De Gaulle, while this "clever . . . and most noble statesmen of the West" (according to *Izvestia*) tramples under his soldier's boots the demand of all nations to stop the atombomb test. Certainly, Moscow is wooing the friendship of this general! Why not? Is not his unreasonable stand destroying Western efforts to defend itself against the Moscow-Peking goal of establishing world communism?

A philosopher must be responsible; he must not muddle peoples' minds through his personal prejudice against America. He ought to base his conclusions on historical events. Have you pondered the question of where England, France and the other countries would be today under fascism if the USA had not entered World War II?

Could Europe have avoided comunization after the Second World War without America's economic help?

Your sympathy for Moscow's counter-progressive regime is a phenomenon. Has Khrushchev's repentant revelations of the party's atrocities practiced on the unhappy people of Soviet Russia (I trust you have read (One day in the life of Ivan Denisovich, by A. Solzhenitsyn); has the symbol of Marxist "Peace, Freedom and Brotherhood" — the Berlin Wall — taught you anything?

Have you stirred world opinion in a defense of Synevsky and Daniel? Tell us, is not Brezhnev acting against individual freedom, just as the brutal Tsar Nicholas who tormented Dostoevsky one hundred twenty years ago? (I recommend to you Dostoevsky's *Memories From The House of Death*, to understand the experiences of the wretched Synevsky and Daniel.)

Has not communism with its countless crimes against man proved that an educated man cannot trust it; that being a Communist of sympathizing with the system is a disgrace to a critical thinking individual?

Your accusation that the American government is acting as an imperialist is unimaginable. You, as everybody, and even Kosygin,

(Continued on Page 4)

## Appeal

To the Editor:

(A copy of this letter was sent to Lord Bertrand Russell, the philosopher whose plans for peace have caused much comment recently.)

Lord Earl Bertrand Russell

Dear Sir:

Your appeal to Moscow recently to dispatch "the Soviet Air Force to North Vietnam" surprised everybody, even the ultrapacifist Quakers. People are astonished because your call means the escalation of the war and because it emanates from a person who is considered a "pacifist."

Your appeal, of course, justifies Moscow's ignoble behavior. On one hand the Soviet informs the world that it is working "for establishing eternal peace among nations . . ." and calls on "progressive men" to join their efforts

(Continued from Page 2)

only one aim in Vietnam: to defend the independence of that small nation. The meaning of war in Vietnam is even deeper. It is an attempt to put a stop to the Communists' aspiration to conquer the world and to organize a "kolkhoz" life for humanity.

The indisputable fact is: philosophers and students, kings and president, capitalists and workers, Pope and choir boy must have a deep reverence for the American Soldier - the guardian of progress and freedom on this earth.

American boys, therefore, are dying in the jungles of South East Asia to preserve your life and freedom (you will excuse me, but it is certain that if you had been under the Communists you would not have reached the age of 94; you would have been exterminated long ago) and to allow you to invent such childish nonsense as to propose a "war criminal tribunal

to try President Johnson."

Sir! You are learned enough to realize what the situation really is in our world. But you either suppress your conscience and play the role of a hypocrite in order to go into the history as the "world's most prominent pacifist" or, simply your old age has blinded your reason, thus preventing you from thinking clearly and logically.

Yours truly,

C. William George, Columnist

---

To the Editor:

The Editorial Board and staff of **Monmouth Letters** support the right of students to publish and distribute, on campus, any literature short of outright libel or pornography. Consistent with this stand, we vigorously and wholeheartedly condemn the action of the administration of Monmouth College in suppressing the "unauthorized" **Hawker** and in suspending the editors of that publication.